



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,760	01/04/2002	Anthony A. Sauve	96700/725	6299
1912	7590 08/31/2005		EXAMINER	
AMSTER, ROTHSTEIN & EBENSTEIN LLP 90 PARK AVENUE			MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C	
	, NY 10016		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		1623	
			DATE MAILED: 08/31/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/038,760	SAUVE ET AL.		
Lammer-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Traviss C. McIntosh	1623		
All Participants:	Status of Application:			
(1) <u>Traviss C. McIntosh (Examiner)</u> .	(3)	·		
(2) Craig Arnold (Applicant's representative).	(4)			
Date of Interview: 26 August 2005	Time: <u>12:00</u>			
<u>_</u>	nt's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:				
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed: IDS filed on 5/6/2004				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	AL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writtenecord of the substance of the by the examiner appears in FIJAMES OF THE SORY PARTIES OF THE SOR	en summary of the substance interview		
(Francisco (CDF Circo Avec))				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Called applicants to let them know I was sending them a signed copy of the IDS filed on 5/6/04, as this was missed during the prosecution of the case and should have been considered. It is noted that the examiner finds that the reference does not effect the patentability of the allowed claims..